

EU policy signals for investment in power sector transformation

Jesse Scott



Proposed 2030 Framework for Climate and Energy (4): The main components



HC out, LC in

High-carbon retirement / power

WEO 2014 - European Union 450 scenario

4000 Coal 3500 🗖 Oil 3000 Gas 툴 2500 Nuclear 2000 📕 Hydro 1500 Bioenergy 1000 Other RE 500 Total 0 1990 2012 2020 2030 2040

Increasing low-carbon requires high-carbon retirements



Getting to the goal on ETS

Reforms need to impact on opex + capex





The RES increase challenge 21% of electricity mix 2014, to 45% by 2030

EU RES 2013 – approx 21%



5% biomass







6% intermittent

EU RES 2030 – approx 45%



5% biomass



10% hydro



a x5 increase in intermittent generation ?

30% intermittent

EU or national

Today: internal energy market or x28 chaos?



Levels of promotion



Highly divergent promotion levels across technologies and countries

* Source: Status Review of Renewable and Energy Efficiency Support Schemes in Europe

Resulting in renewed price divergences



Renewed price divergences between Germany and the Netherlands show the impact of national climate and energy policies on the internal energy market *Source: Energie Trends 2012, ECN, Energie-Nederland and Netbeheer Nederland*



EU Energy Union?

"The Energy Union can only be achieved through a combination of coherent and coordinated measures at EU and national level while preserving Member States' right to define policies matching national preferences"



TITLE XX Environment

Article 191 – objectives of EU environment policy Article 193 – Member States may take more stringent measures

TITLE XXI Energy

Article 194 – a Member State's right to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy resources, its choice between different energy sources and the general structure of its energy supply

The core EU policy objectives 2011-2014 Key Commission Communications



Markets and policies

The core EU policy objectives

DG Energy view on the evolution of climate and energy policy



What's driving price rises?



Investing?



Institutions and politics Junker Commission work plan and policy teams for decarbonisation

The leaked early Commission draft on Energy Union includes some useful clarity about which Commissioners will team up to tackle different pieces of the decarbonisation/2030 drafting task during 2015-16.

- EU position on international climate process Arias Cañete, Georgieva, Mogherini, Mimica
- ETS and carbon leakage Arias Cañete, Bienkowska
- Effort-sharing Arias Cañete
- Renewables Arias Cañete, Vella, Hogan, Bill, Moedas
- Fuel economy/transport Arias Cañete, Bulc
- Smart meters Arias Cañete, Oettinger
- Energy efficiency and energy performance of buildings Arias Cañete
- CCS Arias Cañete, Moedas
- Biomass Arias Cañete, Moedas, Vella, Hogan, Bulc
- Governance Junker, Sefcovic, Arias Cañete
- IED/air quality Arias Cañete, Vella, Bienkowska, Hogan, Bulc, Moedas
- Land use Arias Cañete, Hogan, Vella, Moedas

Does the 2015-16 timeline implythat the 2030 draft legislative package would not be published until after Paris?

The road to a 2030 framework It's not over until...

- Step 1: 22 Jan 2014: Commission proposals on goals
- Step 2: October 2014: European Council political decision on goals
- Step 3: 2015: Commission drafts legislation to implement goals, spread burdens
 The devil is in the details
 And new Commissioners might have new ideas
- Step 4: 2016-18: Parliament and Council Co-Decision on legislation
 The EP definitely has its own ideas
 Elections in Member States can mean changes of government
- Step 5: 2018-19: National transposition where necessary More details

EU energy policy decisions – a double "trilemma"



EU energy policy decisions – a double "trilemma"



In theory: 2 + 2 = 4In reality: $1 + 5 - 3 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3\frac{1}{2}}{2}$

Back up slides

Carbon reduction signals



Carbon tax (x28 national, not EU)



Cap-and-trade market = ETS



Emissions limit values (portfolio/plant)

Global success of the ETS model



ETS problems and reforms

3 different problems, 3 different solutions

Short-term:Surplus of 2.6bn EUAs by 2020Solution: permanent set-aside

Medium-term:Fixed supply and demand shocks result in price volatilitySolution: market stability mechanism

Long-term:The ETS cap is not coherent with the EU 2050 goalSolution: strengthen the linear factor

What ambition, when? Early, economy-wide, high ambition

- Climate is a lower political priority than before the economic crisis, BUT there is still <u>some</u> priority and therefore <u>some</u> policy ambition
- The power sector is always the first (easy) target for climate policy
- Therefore we face a choice:



(Not a realistic option for the power sector)

Low ambition = few sectors (power) = stop/start policies

<u>Costs</u> the power sector investment in low-carbon technologies and loss of market share from energy saving High ambition = whole-economy = stable policies

<u>Gains</u> the power sector new market share through electrification of additional sectors

What ambition, when? Power Choices Reloaded – high cost of a Lost Decade

Power Choices Reloaded's *Lost Decade* modelling scenario assumes a complete lack of action in the decade 2020-2030, therefore the entire decarbonisation action has to occur in the last two decades to 2050

Infrastructure, power sector decarbonisation, mobility electrification and technology R&D, as well as energy efficiency in the demand side sectors will have to develop in a very short period of time post-2030

The changes required in the system from 2030 to obtain the necessary cumulative emissions reductions by 2050 result in this scenario being barely feasible in true life

Key failures involved in the Lost Decade case

- Weak carbon market until 2030
- Limited financing under uncertainty hampering investment
- Market coordination failures delaying infrastructure
- Non-completion of IEM leading to low cross-border energy trade
- Slower pace of technology progress: learning curves and build up of supply chains
- Delays to energy efficiency persisting up to 2030, especially on the demand-side and in electrification



Competitiveness, energy and climate What's at issue?

- There is no such thing as a global level playing field on energy
 - Europe and the US have different energy situations, so need different energy strategies
- Competitiveness is a whole-economy issue
 - Policies favouring/exempting one sector may have a negative impact on other sectors
- Intra-European tax/price/policy differentials result in intra-European leakage
 - Dutch and German steel compete in the same market but under different renewables, carbon and power prices







Market design for investment in decarbonised electricity

